Notice of Allowability Example 1. Section 1	n the cover sheet with the	DE CONINCK, VAI LEOPOLD, MARIE Art Unit	
N.	Bhat n the cover sheet with the		00
	n the cover sheet with the	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR Finerewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or oth NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or otherwise the Allowance (PTOL-85) o	ner appropriate communication. 3. This application is subject	ipplication. If not include on will be mailed in due	ded e course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the amendment of Decemb</u>	er 11, 2003, re-submitted 4-	<u>19-2004</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-20</u> .			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>25 June 2001</u> are accepted by the Examin	er.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3st a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents 	received. received in Application No.		ation from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this application.		·
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. I INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reasonable to the submitted of the			NOTICE OF
6. \square CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be s	ubmitted.		
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's F	Patent Drawing Review (PTC	O-948) attached	
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Ame Paper No./Mail Date	ndment / Comment or in the	Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the hea			e back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR T			Note the
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal	Patent Application (P1	ΓΟ-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ⊠ Interview Summar Paper No./Mail D		
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date	7. 🛭 Examiner's Amen	dment/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's Staten	nent of Reasons for All	lowance
of Biological Material	9. Other	~ A 1	
		N. Bhat Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1761	

Application/Control Number: 09/887,022 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's arguments and amendments have been fully and carefully considered. Applicant has traversed the 112, second paragraph rejection and accordingly the rejection is withdrawn. The phrase "characterized in that" has been allowed in a number of Patents by the PTO as pointed out by applicant, it was the examiner's preference not to have "characterized in that" language in the claim and to use --wherein-- as the claim better conforms with U.S. Chemical PTO Practice, the change was editorial in nature. The change in language was not to limit in scope the claim in any way. Similarly, with respect to the claims which has a range within a range using the linking term "preferably", as the examiner understands, the Office is now permitting this type of language, however, the examiner will still recommend or suggest to applicant for their own protection it would be better if the narrower range would be recited in a dependent claim depending from the claim which recite the broad range so there would be no problems in how the examiner interpreted the language of the claim if the case were to be litigated. Again, the rejection has been withdrawn and was not intended to narrow the scope of the claim it was for clarity purposes only. Applicant is thanked for making the changes regarding the range within a range.
- 2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,022

Art Unit: 1761

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Kendrew Colton on May 19, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the Claims:

Claim 20, line 1, second occurrence, delete "a" and insert -- an --

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The invention relates to an agglomerated starch-based product consisting of starch and maltodextrin wherein at least 80%w/w of the discrete particles of the agglomerated starch-based product are greater than 100 microns, and wherein each particle is an agglomeration of randomly distributed fine grains of starch and maltodextrin, and the agglomerated starch based product instantly disperses and simultaneously develops its full viscosity in a cold water containing liquid medium. The invention also provides for a dry mix for making food preparations wherein the dry mix comprises an agglomerated starch based product consisting of starch and maltodextrin and at least 80%w/w of the discrete particles of the agglomerated starch-based product are greater than 100 microns, and wherein each particle is an agglomeration of randomly distributed fine grains of starch and maltodextrin, and that the agglomerated starch-based product instantly disperses and simultaneously develops its full viscosity in a cold water-containing liquid medium. The starch based product consisting of starch and maltodextrin as claimed has not been taught either singularly or in combination by the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably Art Unit: 1761

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-1397. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

N. Bhat Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1761